1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

2223

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

BOBBY LEE DICKERSON, JR.,

v.

Plaintiff,

Case No. C16-0256-RAJ-MAT

CHELLONDA LEFAY FALLS, et al.,

Defendants.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff Bobby Lee Dickerson, Jr. is currently confined at the South Correctional Entity (SCORE) in Des Moines, Washington. He has submitted to this Court for filing a civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (*See* Dkt. 1.) Plaintiff appears to allege in his proposed complaint that the named defendants, of which there are many, have repeatedly slandered him and defamed his character in violation of his federal constitutional rights and federal statutory law. (*See id.*) Plaintiff seeks relief in the form of \$100 million, a "gag order," and an order directing that the named defendants be sent to "crazy homes" for a period of two to five years.

Plaintiff failed to submit with his complaint either the filing fee or an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Typically the Court would address such deficiencies by directing a letter to plaintiff advising him of the deficiencies and providing him an opportunity to correct

them. However, plaintiff is a frequent litigant in this Court and, as such, is aware of the filing fee requirement. The Court declines to spend additional resources guiding plaintiff through a process with which he is well familiar. Moreover, when the Court has sent filing fee deficiency letters to plaintiff in prior actions, plaintiff has typically responded by submitting an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*, as opposed to paying the filing fee. It would serve no apparent purpose for the Court to solicit an *in forma pauperis* application from plaintiff in this case as it does not appear that he is eligible to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), a prisoner may not proceed *in forma pauperis* in a civil action if he or she has, on three or more prior occasions, brought civil actions that were dismissed on the grounds that they were frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury. This Court's records reflect that plaintiff has filed at least three prior civil actions, *in forma pauperis*, which were dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. *See Dickerson v. Satterburg*, C09-1279-RSM (dismissed Oct. 22, 2009); *Dickerson v. Bals*, C09-1419-JCC (dismissed Jan. 14, 2010); *Dickerson v. RJC King County Jail*, C09-1508-JCC (dismissed Jan. 14, 2010). Accordingly, plaintiff may not proceed with this action without prepayment of the full filing fee unless he shows that he was "under imminent danger of serious physical injury" at the time he signed his pleading. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g); *Andrews v. Cervantes*, 493 F.3d 1047, 1053 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[I]t is the circumstances at the time of the filing of the complaint that matters for purposes of the 'imminent danger' exception to § 1915(g).").

While plaintiff inserted the phrase "imminent danger" in his statement of claim, he fails to articulate specific facts demonstrating that any of the defendants identified in his complaint is

subjecting him to imminent danger from a particular harm. Plaintiff is therefore ineligible to file this lawsuit in federal court without paying the \$350.00 filing fee plus a \$50.00 administrative fee (for a total of \$400.00).

Based on the foregoing, this Court recommends that plaintiff be directed to pay the filing fee within 10 days of the date on which this Report and Recommendation is adopted, and that this action be terminated if plaintiff fails to do so. A proposed order accompanies this Report and Recommendation.

Objections to this Report and Recommendation, if any, should be filed with the Clerk within fourteen (14) days of the date on which this Report and Recommendation is signed. Failure to file objections within the specified time may affect the right to appeal. Objections should be noted for consideration on the District Judge's motions calendar for the first Friday after they are filed. If no timely objections are filed, the matter will be ready for consideration by the District Judge on March 11, 2016.

DATED this 24th day of February, 2016.

20

21

22

23

Mary Alice Theiler United States Magistrate Judge

¹ It is not clear from plaintiff's complaint that any of the named defendants are even properly deemed state actors for purposes of bringing suit under § 1983. The Court, however, need not inquire further into this potential deficiency given plaintiff's failure to satisfy the imminent danger exception.